UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,289	12/20/2000	Richard D. Romero	042933/274313	6983
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 01/12/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	BURGESS, BARBARA N		
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision				
from Pre-Appeal Brief				
Review				

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
09/745,289	ROMERO ET Al	<u>L.</u>
BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	iew filed November 14, 2008.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	d a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other: . 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claic Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 5-14, 16-18, 20-53. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3, 15, 19 (c	
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	
All participants:	
(1) <u>BARBARA N. BURGESS</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Jaroenchonwanit, Bunjob</u> .	(4)
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2456	